UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,556	02/27/2004	Anthony George Burns	0108-0245	9235
33787 JOHN J. OSKO	7590 07/11/200 OREP, ESQ.	EXAMINER		
	ICENT MILE CENTE	BAYOU, YONAS A		
SUITE 1400	JAN AVE.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60611	2134		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/789,556	BURNS, ANTHONY GEORGE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
YONAS BAYOU	2134		

	TONAS BATOU	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	ΓE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	on copenialing maintage or initially repa						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Kambiz Zand/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2134							

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants remarks have been considered, but are not persuasive with respect to claims 1, 4-7, and 15-16. Examiner responses 102(b): applicant states: Jin does not teach, "reading," "storing," and 'repeating" which are performed "automatically"..."in response to a triger signal" as claimed in claims 1-22. Examiner respectifully disagrees with applicant. Examiner notes that of the Jin reference paragraphs 28, 39-40 and figs. 4a-4f teaches generally, the present system allows sending/receiving personal information through a mobile terminal; pararaphs 5, 11 teaches mobile telephones can be used for phone number storage, schedule management, and personal information management; paragraphs 7, 28, 30-31, 40, and figs. 4a-4f teaches inherently repeating the act of reading and storing for at least a second user-specific information and automatically grouping the user-specific information (see paragraph 31). Respectifully, this is examiner respond and reasoning as to find applicant's remarks not persuasive.